

# Geoelectric Sounding to Delineate Shallow Aquifers in the Coastal Plain Sands of Okitipupa Area, Southwestern Nigeria.

G.O. Omosuyi, J.S. Ojo, and M.O. Olorunfemi\*

Department of Applied Geophysics, Federal University of Technology,  
PMB 704, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria.

\*Department of Geology, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

## ABSTRACT

In Okitipupa area, south western Nigeria, the aquifers of the Coastal Plain sands are largely Quaternary porous sediments, but without records of their subsurface disposition. In this study, fifty Schlumberger soundings were conducted over the study area, aimed at delineating the boundaries, depth range of the shallow aquifer units, and assessing their vulnerability to near-surface contaminants.

The study delineated two major aquifer units within the area: (i) the upper/surficial aquifer system, which occur at depths ranging from 5.8m (around Agbabu) to 61.5m (around Ikoya), and with materials of higher average resistivity (504.7  $\Omega$ m), suggestive of gravelly/coarse to medium-grained sand and (ii) the intermediate aquifer system, characterised by depth range of 32.1-127.5m, average resistivity of 296.8 $\Omega$ m, typical of medium-grained sand saturated with water.

The highly resistive, impermeable materials overlying the aquifer units around Ajagba, Aiyesan, Agbetu, Ilutitun, Igbotako, and Erinj suggests that the aquifer units are less vulnerable to near-surface contaminants than in Agbabu, Igbisin, Ugbo, and Aboto where aquifers are overlain by less resistive materials. This study enabled the delineation of shallow aquifers, their subsurface disposition, and identified promising areas for elaborate groundwater development in the area. An integration of such geophysical study with lithologic logs/drilling data would enhance accurate delineation of aquifers and vulnerability quantification in the area.

(Keywords: geoelectric sounding, aquifer units, coastal plain sands, aquifer vulnerability)

## INTRODUCTION

The Okitipupa area is underlain by the sedimentary rocks of south western Nigeria. The zone constitutes the easternmost segment of the extensive Dahomey basin. Studies have shown that sedimentary basins generally contain enormous quantities of water (Freeze and Cherry, 1979; Fetter, 1980; Kehinde and Loehnert, 1989; Edet and Okereke, 2002). To realise this fact, several countries worldwide have engaged in extensive studies of basins within their frontiers, using geological/hydrogeological, geophysical, or chemical data, or a combination of the above, for proper identification and delineation of the water bearing units. Such studies (Leit and Barker, 1978; van Overmeeren 1989; Mbonu et al., 1991; El-Waheidi et al., 1992; Kalinski et al., 1993; Frohlich et al., 1994; Ebraheem et al., 1997; Choudhury et al., 2001; Edet and Okeke, 2002; and Lashkaripour, 2003), often afford the optimisation and proper management of the basins in order to enhance safe discharge and appropriately safeguarding the quality status of the water. However, any rational development groundwater requires geophysical data input, among others.

Few studies so far conducted in the area (Ako, 1982; Omosuyi et al., 1999; Omosuyi, 2001) were based on borehole lithologic correlation and hydro-geophysical characterisation of the subsurface sequence for suitable location of groundwater abstraction points.

In this study, geoelectric resistivity sounding data have been used to delineate the boundaries, subsurface disposition and assess the vulnerability status of the shallow water bearing aquifers in the Coastal Plain around Okitipupa.

The study is envisaged to upgrade our knowledge of the basin and enhance the success rate of groundwater abstraction boreholes in the area, in addition to serving as a useful guide to an elaborate groundwater development programme proposed for the area.

### PHYSIOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

The study area (Figure 1) is bounded by Longitudes 4° 22 'E and 5° 05 'E, and latitudes 6°

00'N and 6° 40'N. The terrain is regionally gently undulating southward; topographic elevations vary from about 84m above sea level in the northern part, with gradual slope to a near sea level swamp flat in the coastal area to the south. The area is drained by many perennial streams and rivers among which are Ominla, Oluwa, Akeun, Ufara, and Oni, while the southern part is particularly characterised by lagoons, coastal creeks, canals, and several tributaries to the extensive River Oluwa. The annual temperature ranges from 24 to 27°C and the mean annual rainfall is over 2500mm (Iloje, 1981).




Figure 1: Location Map showing Okitipupa Area.



The field curves were interpreted through partial curve matching (Koefoed, 1979) with the help of master curves (Orellana and Mooney, 1966) and auxiliary point charts (Zohdy, 1965; Keller and Frischnecht, 1966). From the preliminary interpretation, initial estimates of the resistivity and thickness of the various geoelectric layers at each VES location were obtained. These geoelectric parameters were later used as starting model for a fast computer-assisted interpretation (Vander Velpen, 1988). The program takes the manually derived parameter as a starting geoelectric model, successively improved on it until the error is minimised to an acceptable level.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Geoelectric and Lithological Characteristics

Figure 3 shows typical geoelectric curves corresponding to VES data from the study area. Curve types identified ranges from simple AK, QH, to HKH, HKQ, KHK and complex HAKQ, AKHKQ, etc. types, reflecting facies or lithological variations in the area.

The AK, HKH and HKQ types are the most preponderant, with each constituting about 7.9%, while each of QH, KHK, HKHK, HAKQ and QHKQ accounts for about 5.3%. The general signature of the curves suggests alternate sequence of conductive-reflective, resistive-conductive layers, reflecting the unconsolidated nature of the Quaternary coastal plain sequence, characterised by intercalation of sands and clay/shale horizon (Omosuyi et al., 1998). This reflects on the curves as discrete resistivity layers (Examples in Figure 3).

### Aquifer Delineation

Electrical methods primarily reflect variations in ground resistivity. The electrical resistivity contrasts existing between lithological sequences (Dodds and Ivic, 1998; Lashkaripour, 2003) in the subsurface are often adequate to enable the delineation of geoelectric layers and identification of aquiferous or non-aquiferous layers (Schwarz, 1988).

The geoelectric sequence suggests a subsurface geology characterised by alternation of sands/gravels, clay/shale and sandstone

occurring at varying depths with variable thicknesses. The sand and gravel layers constitute the aquifer units. The geoelectric parameters of the aquifer units were determined from the interpretation of the sounding curves, assisted by the distinctive resistivity contrasts between the discrete geoelectric layers. The upper and lower aquifer horizons work are referred to as the surficial (upper) and intermediate (lower) aquifers respectively.


The results of the interpretation were used to construct three interpretive sections AB, CD, and EF, taken in the north-south, west-east and northwest-southeast directions (Figure 4).

The sections (Figures 4a and 4b) reveal that the surficial and intermediate aquifers are overlain in places by materials with variable thickness and resistivity parameters. In section AB (Figure 4a), the resistivity of materials overlying the upper aquifer ranges from 2  $\Omega$ m to 5622 $\Omega$ m.

The depth to the top of the aquifer varies from 20.5m to 39m. The high resistivity of the top layers may correspond to the unsaturated zone, as observed in Van Overmeeren (1989). The depth to the intermediate aquifer ranges from 52m to 79.8m. In the area, depths to the top of the aquifer horizons tend to increase southward. The thickness and resistivity parameters of materials overlying an aquifer are important parameters in the assessment of the vulnerability of the underlying aquifer (Kalinski et al., 1993).

In geoelectric section CD, a west-east cross section (Figure 4b), depths of occurrences range from 16.1 to 48.3m and 28.1 to 96.4m in the upper and intermediate aquifers respectively. The northwest-southeast section reveals that depth to the upper aquifer ranges from 15.5 to 61.5m, while that of the intermediate aquifer varies between 54.7m and 97.5m. The geoelectric sections show that the two aquifer units generally dip southward. Contour maps showing the depth to the top of the aquifer units are shown in Figures 5a and 5b.


The isopach maps constructed from the VES results (Figure 6) show that the surficial and intermediate aquifers are highly variable in thickness across the area. In the former, thickness ranges from 5.1m (at Loda) to 49.4m at Erinje, with a mean value of 29.5m.



No	Res	Thick	Depth
1	471.0	1.5	9.5
2	708.9	8.4	9.9
3	717.7	10.4	21.1
4	623.9	22.3	42.1
5	380.	27.3	69.7
6	299.7	38.6	108.2
7	250.6	-	-

\* RMS on smoothed data

(a)




No	Res	Thick	Depth
1	897.4	1.2	1.0
2	1836.2	1.2	1.1
3	459.0	13.2	17.1
4	715.8	20.6	30.1
5	628.0	48.1	66.1
6	294.9	107.8	116.1
7	183.8	-	-


\* RMS on smoothed data

(b)

Figure 3: Typical VES Curves from Okitipupa Area: (a) Igbotako and (b) Irele.



**Figure 4:** Goelectric Section along North-South (AB), West-East(CD), and Northwest-Southeast Directions in the Area.



**Figure 5a:** Depth to the Top of Surficial Aquifer in the Area.








Figure 6 a: Isopach Map of Surficial Aquifer around Okitipupa.




Figure 6 b: Isopach Map of Intermediate Aquifer around Okitipupa.

This map shows that this unit thickens to the south in the area. The thickness of the intermediate aquifer on the other hand, ranges from 7.3m at Ebute Erinje to 80.7m around Ilutitun, with a mean value of 26.4m. However, the maximum profile length of AB = 650m adopted in this work could not delineate the intermediate aquifer in some sounding locations. Areas with thick aquifer unit may be good prospects for drilling boreholes with high yield expectations (Kelly, 1976; Mbonu et al., 1991).

The iso-resistivity maps for the area (Figures 7 a and 7 b) are derived from the resistivity parameters of the delineated aquifers. The upper aquiferous zone shows relatively high resistivity ranging from 55  $\Omega$ m to 1374  $\Omega$ m, with a mean value of 504.7 $\Omega$ m. These suggest lithology characterised by gravels and medium to coarse-grained sands (higher values), intercalated with fine-grained sands, silts and clay (lower values).

In the intermediate aquifer, the resistivity range is 11-1001  $\Omega$ m, with a mean value of 296.8  $\Omega$ m. This value suggests better porosity and permeability and hence better groundwater saturation. Low resistivity values (11-55  $\Omega$ m) recorded around Aboto and Arogbo suggest saline or brackish water. Unconfirmed saline water intrusion into the coastal aquifers had earlier been reported in area (Omosuyi, 2001).

### **Aquifer Potential and Vulnerability Potential Rating**

The assessment of the potentials of the surficial and intermediate aquifers in this study is based on aquifer thickness derived from VES data interpretation.

The Quaternary coastal plain sands of the Dahomey basin around Okitipupa are generously porous and permeable (Okosun, 1998), important hydro-geologic parameters often synonymous with groundwater saturation. Studies (Ako, 1986; Omosuyi, 2001) have shown that the sands of the Coastal Plain around the area are usually characterised by high resistivity, deriving from the coarse, gravelly nature, rather than a measure of groundwater saturation disposition.

The VES-derived aquifer thickness in the upper and lower aquifer units have been used to zone the area into high (>30m), medium (10-30m), and

low (<10m) groundwater prospect zones as shown in Figure 8.

### **CONCLUSION**

The results of surface geoelectric sounding around Okitipupa, south-western Nigeria, enabled the delineation of two shallow aquifer units within the Coastal Plain sands in the area. The upper/surficial aquifer system occurs at depth ranging from 5.8 to 61.5m, with an average thickness of 29.5m and materials characterised by average resistivity of 504.7 $\Omega$ m, suggesting gravely/coarse to medium sand.

The top of the intermediate aquifer unit varies from 32.1 to 127.5m, with a mean thickness of 26.4m and relatively lower average resistivity of 296.8  $\Omega$ m, indicating medium to fine-grained sand and better groundwater saturation condition.

Based on the resistivity parameters and overall thickness of geoelectric layers overlying the aquifer units, the near surface aquifer systems in the study area are generally protected from contaminants infiltrating from the surface, with the intermediate one being relatively less vulnerable.

The integration of lithologic logs and other drilling data with surface geoelectric studies in the delineation of the aquifer systems and quantification of aquifer vulnerability in the area would enhance the accuracy of the results. However, this study is envisaged to provide guidance for drilling programmes, continuity for understanding the subsurface disposition of the shallow aquifer systems, and constitute a background information or useful guide for more elaborate groundwater development programme in the area.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Dr. M. I. Oladapo, Musa Bawallah, E. Ekundayo, S.Wahab, Dira Adegoke and Sam Ogunbowale assisted during data acquisition and graphic preparation. They are greatly appreciated.






Figure 7 b: Isoresistivity Map of Intermediate Aquifer around Okitipupa.



## REFERENCES

1. Ako, B.D. 1982. "Geophysical Prospecting for Groundwater in an Area without Adequate Geological Data Base". *Journal of Mining Geology*. 18(2):88-105.
2. Choudhry, K., Saha, D.K., and Chakraborty, P. 2001. "Goelectrical Study for Saline Water Intrusion in a Coastal Alluvium Terrain". *Journal of Applied Geophysics*. 46:189-200.
3. Dodds, A.R. and Ivic, D. 1988. "Integrated Geophysical Methods used for Groundwater Studies in the Murray Basin, South Australia". In: *Geotechnical and Environmental Studies Geophysics, Vol II*. Soc. Explor Geophys.:Tulsa, OK. 303-310.
4. Ebraheem, A.M, Senosy, M.M., and Dahab, K.A. 1997. "Goelectrical and Hydrogeochemical Studies for Delineating Ground-Water Contamination due to Salt-Water Intrusion in the Northern part of the Nile Delta, Egypt". *Ground Water*. 35(2):216-222.
5. Edet, A.E. and Okereke, C.S. 2002. "Delineation of Shallow Groundwater Aquifers in the Coastal Plain Sands of Calabar Area (Southern Nigeria) using Surface Resistivity and Hydrogeological Data". *Journal of African Earth Sciences*. 35:433-443.
6. El-Waheidi, M.M., Merlanti, F., and Paven, M. 1992. "Goelectrical Resistivity Survey of the Central Part of Azraq Basin (Jordan) for Identifying Saltwater/Freshwater Interface". *J. Applied Geophysics*. 29:125-133.
7. Fetter, C.W. 1980. *Applied Hydrogeology*. C.E. Merrill Publishing: Columbus. OH. 306-370.
8. Freeze, R.A. and Cherry, J.A. 1979. *Groundwater*. Prentice-Hall: Englewood, NJ. 604.
9. Frohlich, R.K., Urish, D.W., Fulter, J., and Reilly, M.O. 1994. "Use of Goelectrical Method in Groundwater Pollution Surveys in a Coastal Environment". *Journal of Applied Geophysics*. 32: 139-154.
10. Iloeje, M.P. 1981. *A New Geography of Nigeria*. Longman: Nigeria. 26 – 28.
11. Jones, H.A. and Hockey, R.D. 1964. "The Geology of Part of Southwestern Nigeria". *Geol. Surv. Nigeria Bull.* 31:87.
12. Kalinski, R.J., Kelly, W.E., and Bogard, S. 1993. "Combined Use of Goelectrical Sounding and Profiling to Quantify Aquifer Protection Properties". *Ground Water*. 31:538-544.
13. Kehinde, M.O. and Loehnert, F.P. 1989. "Review of African Groundwater Resources". *Jour. of African Earth Science*. 9(1):179-185.
14. Keller, G.V. and Frischnecht, F.C. 1966. *Electrical Methods in Geophysical Prospecting*. Pergamon Press: Oxford, UK. 523.
15. Kelly, E.W. 1976. "Goelectric Sounding for Delineating Ground Water Contamination". *Ground Water*. 14:6-11.
16. Kirsch, R., Sengpiel, K.P., and Voss, W. 2003. "The Use of Electrical Conductivity Mapping in the Definition of Aquifer Vulnerability Index". *Near Surface Geophy.* 1:13-20.
17. Koefoed, O. 1979. *Geosounding Principles, 1. Resistivity Sounding Measurements*. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Comp.: Amsterdam, The Netherlands. 275.
18. Lashkarpour, G.R. 2003. "An Investigation of Groundwater Condition by Goelectrical Resistivity Method: A Case Study in Korin Aquifer, Southeast Iran". *Journal of Spacial Hydrology*. 3(1):1-5.
19. Leite, J.L. and Barker, R.D. 1978. "Resistivity Surveys Employed to Study Coastal Aquifers in the State of Bahia, Brazil". *Geoexploration*. 16: 251-257.
20. Mbonu, P.D.C., Ebeniro, J.O., Oeogebu, C.O., and Ekine, A.S. 1991. "Goelectric Sounding for the Determination of Aquifer Characteristics in Parts of the Umuahia Area of Nigeria". *Geophysics*. 56(2):284-291.
21. Okosun, E.A. 1998. "Review of the Early Tertiary Stratigraphy of Southwestern Nigeria". *J. of Mining and Geology*. 34:27-35.
22. Omosuyi, G.O., Ojo, J.S., and Olorunfemi, M.O. 1999. "Borehole Lithologic Correlation and Aquifer Delineation in Parts of the Coastal Basin of SW Nigeria". *Journal of Applied Sciences*. 2:617-626.
23. Omosuyi, G.O. 2001. "Geophysical and Hydrogeological Investigations of Groundwater Prospects in the Southern part of Ondo State, Nigeria". Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Applied Geophysics, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. 195.
24. Omotsola, M.E. and Adegoke, O.S. 1981. "Tectonic Evolution and Cretaceous Stratigraphy of the Dahomey Basin". *J. Min. Geol.* 18(1):130-137.

25. Orellana, E. and Mooney, H.M. 1966. "Master Tables and Curves for Vertical Electrical Sounding over Layered Structures". *Inteciencis, Madrid*. 34.
26. Schwarz, S.D. 1988. "Application of Geophysical Methods to Groundwater Exploration in the Tolt River Basin, Washington State". In: *Geotechnical and Environmental Studies Geophysics, Vol II*. Soc. Explor Geophys.: Tulsa, OK. 213-217.
27. Thorling, L., Sorensen, K.I., and Ernstsens, V. 1997. "Effect of "Windows" Mapped by Geophysical Methods on Groundwater Quality". *Proceedings Environmental and Engineering Geophysical Society European Section, EEGS-ES*. 9-12.
28. Vander-Velpen, B.P.A. 1988. "RESIST Version 1.0." M.Sc. Research Project. ITC: Delft Netherlands.
29. Van Overmeeren, R.A. 1989. "Aquifer Boundaries Explored by Geoelectrical Measurements in the Coastal Plain of Yemen: A Case of Equivalence". *Geophysic*. 54(1):38-48.
30. Van Stempvoort, D., Ewet, L., and Wassenaar, L. 1993. "Aquifer Vulnerability Index: A GIS-Compatible Method for Groundwater Vulnerability Mapping". *Canadian Water Resources Journal*. 18: 25-37.
31. Zohdy, A.A.R. 1965. "The Auxiliary Point Method of Electrical Sounding Interpretation and its Relationship to Dar Zorrouk Parameters". *Geophysics*. 30:644-650.

## SUGGESTED CITATION

Omosuyi, G.O., J.S. Ojo, and M.O. Olorunfemi. 2008. "Geoelectric Sounding to Delineate Shallow Aquifers in the Coastal Plain Sands of Okitipupa Area, Southwestern Nigeria". *Pacific Journal of Science and Technology*. 9(2):562-577.

