

Determination of the Proximate Content, Phytochemical Properties and the Antimicrobial Properties of the Seed Extracts of *Carica papaya* on Some Clinical Isolates

Osayamen Collins Udinyiwe, Ph.D. and Andrew Edosa Omoregie, M.Sc.

Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria.

E-mail: collins.udinyiwe@uniben.edu*

ABSTRACT

Medicinal plants are the most abundant natural sources of substances used in traditional medicine. The present study was conducted to evaluate the proximate content, the phytochemical and antimicrobial properties of *Carica papaya* seed extracts on clinical isolates. The *Carica papaya* seeds were sourced from fruits sellers from Ekosodin community in Ovia North-East Local Government, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria and were air dried for fourteen days. The extract was obtained by maceration using distilled water and ethanol as solvents.

The results of the phytochemical screening for both aqueous and ethanolic extracts showed compounds such as saponins, phenolics, alkaloids, and flavonoids. The antimicrobial assay revealed that *Carica papaya* seed extracts had good antimicrobial properties with minimum inhibition of 100 mg/ml observed in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Bacillus subtilis* for aqueous extract, 1.04 mg/ml *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Bacillus subtilis*, and 2.08 mg/ml *Candida* spp. for ethanol extract. The minimum bactericidal concentration of ethanol extract in this study was 4.16 mg/ml for *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and 8.33 mg/ml for *Bacillus subtilis*, minimum fungicidal concentration was 8.33 mg/ml for *Candida* spp.

The results from this study indicate that *Carica papaya* seed possess sufficient nutritional content and phytochemical components, indicating their potential use as supplementary antimicrobial agents and essential nutrients for both humans and animals.

(Keywords: *Carica papaya*, phytochemicals, medicinal plants, antimicrobial, clinical isolates)

INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines any plant that contains substances used to make drugs or has medicinal properties as a medicinal plant. These plants, whether used in their entirety or in specific parts like roots, stems, leaves, stem barks, fruits or seeds, have the potential to be applied for disease control or treatment. Medicinal plants have been used for therapeutic purposes since ancient times (Sarker and Nahar, 2007).

Many plants have metabolites that give them pharmacological effects. They include primary and secondary metabolites. Nucleic acids, proteins, lipids, carbohydrates and glucose are examples of primary metabolites that are necessary for human growth and development. Conversely, plant-produced secondary metabolites, including alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, terpenoids, steroids, glycosides, tannins, and volatile oils which give them their medicinal qualities and aid in the treatment of a number of illnesses (Chopra, *et al.* 2002).

Terpenoids have antiviral, anthelmintic, antibacterial, anticancer, antimalarial, and anti-inflammatory activity, whereas alkaloids have antispasmodic, antimalarial and analgesic activities (Maurya, *et al.* (2008). The antioxidative effects of medicinal plants have been evaluated in a variety of animal models, including those for diabetes, hyperlipidemia, autoimmune encephalomyelitis, inflammatory bowel disease, ischemia-reperfusion in rat skeletal muscle or kidney, hepatotoxicity, renal toxicity, radiation injury, and cataract (Rafieian-Kopaie and Baradaran, 2013).

Carica papaya (Paw paw) is a very popular fruit called Gwada in Hausa, Ojo in Igbo, and Ibepe in Yoruba. It is conical or pear shaped with a lot of seeds, it grows up to 4 m-6 m high, the male species do not produce fruits. It appears to have originated from Mexico and Costa Rica, it is now cultivated widely in India, China, Brazil, Central Africa and even West Africa, especially in Nigeria. Nigeria is the top global producer, according to the Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO).

The aim of this study was to determine the proximate content, phytochemical properties and the antimicrobial properties of the seed extracts of *Carica papaya* on some clinical isolates.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Collection of Plant Materials (*Carica papaya* seeds)

The seeds of ripe *Carica papaya* fruits were sourced from fruits sellers from Ekosodin community in Ovia North-East Local Government, Benin City, Edo State and was air dried for fourteen days. The dried seeds were grinded into a fine powder with an industrial dry grinding machine (LG-S50B).

Extraction of Papaya Seeds

800 g of the grinded seeds was added into 2 L of aqueous solvent and 800 g of the grinded seeds was added into 2 L of ethanol, it was allowed to soak for 72 hours. After 72 hours, each extract was then sieved with the double sieve method. The filtrate was evaporated at 40°C, leaving behind a concentrated extract with a sweet fragrance and a brown hue. The solid powder obtained from the extract was kept in a tightly sealed glass container which was kept in a refrigerator.

Proximate Analysis

Ash Content:

$$\text{Ash} = \frac{100 W_{\text{ash}} (\%) }{W_0}$$

W_{ash} = content weight after final drying.

W_0 = the dried weight of the sample

Moisture Content:

An oven was used to continually dry 2 grammes of the material, which was weighed. At 10-minute intervals, the dried sample was continuously weighed until a consistent weight was achieved. As a percentage, the moisture content is determined by dividing the weight change from the initial weight by the weight change (AOAC, 2019).

$$\frac{W_0 - W_{\text{dry}} (\%)}{W_0}$$

Crude Fiber Determination:

The (AOAC, 2019) method was followed in carrying out this. A 250 mL beaker was filled with 4 g of each moisture-free sample, 50 mL of 4 % H_2SO_4 , and 200 ml of distilled water. After that, this was brought to a boil over a Bunsen flame and maintained there for precisely thirty minutes, all the while being constantly stirred with a glass rod that had a rubber tip to clear the beads' surfaces of any particles. Hot distilled water was added to maintain a steady volume.

When the mixture had boiled for thirty minutes, it was transferred into a Buchner funnel that had been equipped with ash-free man No. 40 filter paper and vacuum-pumped. Beaker was quantitatively transferred using a hot water jet after being repeatedly cleaned with hot distilled water. Washing on the funnel proceeded until litmus paper revealed that the filtrate was free of acid. After quantitatively transferring the residue free of acid from the filter paper into the same beaker, the final traces were eliminated using a 5 % NaOH solution and hot water to fill a 200 ml volume.

As previously mentioned, the mixture was brought to a boil for 30 minutes while being constantly stirred, and the water volume was maintained at a consistent temperature. After that, the combination underwent the previously mentioned filtering and washing steps to remove any traces of alkali. Two sections of two milliliters (95% alcohol) were used to cleanse the residue that was left behind. Remaining material on filter paper was moved to a ceramic crucible that had been weighed beforehand.

The crucible's contents were cooled in a desiccator before being dried to a consistent

weight in an oven kept at 110 °C. Following an 8-hour 550°C muffle furnace ignites, the contents of the crucible were cooled and weighed. For every sample, a triplicate determination was made. The percentage crude fiber was therefore Calculated as:

$$\% \text{ Crude Fiber} = 100 (y - a) x$$

x = Weight of sample (g)

y = Weight of insoluble matter (g)

a = Weight of Ash (g)

Crude Fat Determination:

The method of (AOAC, 2019) approach was utilized, which was predicated on the idea that non-polar components of samples can be readily extracted into organic solvents. Method: Each sample was weighed out to the nearest three grams (3 g) in moist-free thimbles. These were then placed into Soxhlet extractors with 160 mL of petroleum ether (b.p. 60–80°C), weighed, and plugged with glass wool. Weighed and attached to the extractors was a dry, clean receiver flask. After that, the extraction unit was put together, and cold water was let to run while the water bath's temperature was kept at 60 °C. Eight hours was spent on extraction.

The sample-containing thimble was taken out at the conclusion of this period, and it was dried to constant weight in an oven set to 70 °C for three hours. Next, a typical analytical balance was used to determine the weight of the Thimble and its contents.

The crude fat was obtained as the difference in weight before and after the exhaustive extraction. Hence, the percentage fat was therefore calculated as:

$$\% \text{ Fat} = \frac{X - Y}{Z}$$

where, x = Weight sample and thimble and oil ,

Y = Weight of empty thimble

Z = Weight of sample

Crude Protein Determination:

Crude protein was determined using a modified version of the micro-Kjeldahl method as reported by (AOAC, 2019). A micro-Kjeldahl digestion flask

was pre-weighed with three grammes (3 g) of defatted sample for each sample, along with a small amount of anti-bumping granules.

The catalyst combination (CuSO₄:Na₂SO₄: SeO₂ 5:1:02 w/w) weighed two grams (2 g) and was added to each flask, followed by the addition of 10 mL of nitrogen-free concentrated H₂SO₄. On a heating mantle in a fume cabinet, the flasks were positioned incliningly. The temperature was raised to 50°C for 30 minutes after the fermentation process began at 30°C until frothing stopped, and then it was heated to 100 °C until a clear solution was achieved. To guarantee full digestion and nitrogen conversion to ammonium sulphate, simmering was maintained below boiling point for an additional half hour.

The samples were allowed to cool once digestion was finished, and then they were quantitatively transferred, cleaned, and allowed to cool to room temperature in 100 ml volumetric flasks. With distilled water, volumes were brought up to par. A 25 ml standard flask was filled with 5 ml of the filtrate from the digest, using a 10 ml pipette to help.

The solution was adequately mixed by shaking it after adding 2.5 cc of Alkaline Phenate. 2.5 ml of sodium hypochlorite was then added after 1 mg of sodium potassium tartrate had been added and gently shaken. Following that, the solution was produced up to the 25 ml mark using distilled water, and the absorbance of the finished product was determined at 630 nm using a UV/visible spectrophotometer. The sample was handled in the same manner as the nitrogen standards (AOAC, 2019).

% N = Instrument. Reading × Slope Reciprocal × Color Vol. × Digest Vol.

Weight of Sample × Aliquot Taken × 10000

% Crude Protein = % Nitrogen × 6.25

Estimation of Total Carbohydrate:

The percentages of crude protein, crude fat, moisture, fiber and ash were subtracted from 100 to get the overall carbohydrate content of the diet samples (AOAC, 2019).

Phytochemical Screening

With very minor modifications, the conventional procedures used by Tiwari *et al.* (2001) were used to conduct the phytochemical analyses of the plant extract.

Detection of Alkaloids:

To do this, 2.0 ml of the plant extract was first evaporated until it was dry. Following that, 5 ml of HCl (2mol/dm³) was used to dissolve the residues and filter them. Two test tubes were split open to receive the filtrate. Add a few drops of Mayer's reagent to the first test tube; the precipitate that forms become yellow, signifying the presence of alkaloids. Following a few drops of Wagner's reagent treatment in the second test tube, an alkaloid-containing brownish-red precipitate formed (AOAC, 2019).

Detection of Glycoside:

This was accomplished by adding an aqueous NaOH solution after 0.5 mg of the extract had been dissolved in roughly 1 ml of water. The development of a yellow hue signifies the existence of glycosides (AOAC, 2019).

Detection of Tannins:

1 % sodium chloride-containing gelatin solution was added to 1.0 ml of the extract. Tannins can be detected by the production of a white precipitation (AOAC, 2019).

Detection of Phenols:

Four drops of ferric chloride solution were added to 1.0 ml of the plant extract to achieve this. Phenolics are indicated by the creation of a bluish-black color (AOAC, 2019).

Detection of Saponins:

The saponins were found using the foam test and froth test procedures. 0.5 g of the plant extract and 2.0 ml of distilled water were shaken in the foam test procedure. When foam starts to form and stays that way for ten minutes, saponins are present. In the froth test procedure, a 50 ml

graduated cylinder was shaken for 15 minutes with 5.0 ml of the extract diluted with distilled water to 20.0 ml. Saponins are present when a layer of foam forms, about 1 centimeter in thickness (AOAC, 2019).

Detection of Flavonoids:

Tests with lead acetate and alkaline reagents were used for this. Using a few drops of a 2 mol/dm³ sodium hydroxide solution, the extract was subjected to the alkaline reagent test. A few drops of lead acetate solution were added to the plant component extract in the lead test, which revealed the presence of flavonoids based on the production of a bright yellow hue that turns colorless when diluted hydrochloric acid (2mol/dm³) is added. It is evident that flavonoids are present when a yellow-colored precipitate form (AOAC, 2019).

Detection of Eugenols:

5 ml of a 5 % KOH solution were combined with around 2 ml of the extract. A filter and separation were applied to the aqueous layer. The filtrate was mixed with a small amount of HCl. Positive results were indicated by a pale-yellow precipitate (AOAC, 2019).

Detection of Steroids:

Each extract weighed 0.5 g and 2 ml of H₂SO₄ was added to it. In certain samples, the color transitioned from violet to blue or green, signifying the presence of steroids (AOAC, 2019).

Detection of Terpenoid:

Concentrated H₂SO₄ (3 ml) was carefully added to 0.2 g of the plant sample extract, 2 ml of chloroform (CHCl₃), and a layer was formed. Positive results for terpenoids are indicated by the interface's reddish-brown coloring (AOAC, 2019).

Determination of Total Phenolic Contents:

Using tannic acid as a standard, the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent was used to quantify the total phenolic content of the extract in accordance with

the method of Singleton and Rossi (1965) with certain modifications.

A test tube was filled with 1.0 ml of the extract solution (250 Ug/ml), to put it briefly. Following a thorough mixing of the contents of the flask, 1.0 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent was added. 15.0 ml of Na₂CO₃ (20 %) was added after 5 minutes, and it was left to stand for two hours.

A Jenway 6100 UV-Vis spectrophotometer located in Dunmow, Essex, United Kingdom was used to measure the absorbance at 760 nm. By utilizing an algorithm derived from the standard tannic acid calibration graph, the total phenolic content was calculated as Ug of tannic acid equivalent (TAE) (AOAC, 2019).

Determination of Alkaloids Content:

The method of (AOAC, 2019) was used to measure the total alkaloid content. A 250 mL beaker containing 5 g of the extract was filled with 100 mL of 2% acetic acid in ethanol, capped, and let to stand for two hours. This was filtered, and a water bath was used to concentrate the extract to a quarter of its initial volume. Until the precipitation was finished, concentrated ammonium hydroxide was added to the extract drop by drop. After letting the entire mixture settle, the precipitate was filtered out, cleaned with 1% ammonia solution, dried, and weighed. Every sample was examined three times.

$$\text{Alkaloid (\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of residue} \times 100}{\text{Weight of sample}}$$

Flavonoid Content Determination:

Three duplicate aliquots of the homogeneous 1.5 g extract were used to measure the flavonoid concentration (AOAC, 2019). Flavonoid determination was performed using thirty-microliter (30 µl) aliquots of the methanolic extract. 90 µL of methanol was used to dilute the samples, followed by 6 µl of 10% aluminum chloride (AlCl₃), 6 µL of 1mol of I sodium acetate (CH₃CO₃Na), and 170 µl of methanol. After 30 minutes, the absorbance at 415 nm was measured. The flavonoid concentration (Ug Qe/g) was calculated using quercetin as the reference.

Estimation of Total Saponins Content:

The method reported by Makkar *et al.* (2014), which was somewhat modified from the vanillin-sulphuric acid colorimetric process, was used to estimate the total saponin concentration. To 250 µL of distilled water, approximately 50 µL of plant extract was added. Next, approximately 250 µL of vanillin reagent (10 mL of 99.5% ethanol containing 800 mg of vanillin) was added. Next, 2.5 mL of 72% sulfuric acid was added, and everything was thoroughly mixed. For ten minutes, this solution was maintained at 60 °C in a water bath. It was chilled in ice cold water after 10 minutes, and the absorbance at 570 nm was measured. From the saponin stock solution, standard saponin solutions ranging from 0 to 25 ppm were created. The test samples were handled in the same way as the standard solutions. The values were given in PPM units.

Quantitative Determination of Tannin:

A water bath was filled with 20 mL of 50 % methanol and precisely 0.20 mL of the sample. The mixture was then agitated and kept at 77°–80°C for one hour. After quantitatively filtering the extract through a double-layered Whatman No. 1 filter paper, 10 mL of 17% Na₂CO₃ was added, along with 2.5 mL of Folin-Denis reagent and 20 mL of distilled water. The mixture was left to stand for twenty minutes. After color development, the absorbance of the samples and a series of standard tannic acid solutions produced in methanol were read using a UV/Visible spectrophotometer set to 760 nm. Using the calibration curve, the total tannin concentration was determined (AOAC, 2019).

Antimicrobial Properties

The agar well diffusion method was utilized to ascertain the antibacterial capabilities. The germs came from the pharmaceutical microbiology lab at the University of Benin's Faculty of Pharmacy in Benin City. The study employed a variety of microorganisms, including *Candida* spp., *Aspergillus* spp., *Klebsiella* spp., *Enterobacter* spp., *Bacillus subtilis*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Escherichia coli*.

Standardization of Test Organisms:

By mixing 0.5 ml of a 1% w/v solution of barium chloride dihydrate ($\text{BaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) with 99.5 ml of 1% sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4), the MacFarland 0.5 turbidity standard was produced. Once well combined, this mixture was separated into many test tubes. A loop full of inoculum was taken from a pure culture of the test organism using a sterile wire loop, transferred into sterile normal saline in separate test tubes, and then standardized. The MacFarland turbidity standard was compared to the tube, and the turbidity of the solution was increased to match the standard's level by either adding more organism or sterile saline (Vandepitte, *et al.*, 2003).

Agar Well Diffusion Method:

For bacteria and fungi, use Muller Hinton agar and Sabouraud dextrose agar, respectively. Following sterilization, the agars were allowed to cool before being divided into 30 ml each and placed into sterile petri plates to solidify. The excess moisture was removed from the surface of the agar plates by drying them in an oven at 50°C for ten minutes after they had solidified. A homogenous inoculum suspension was swabbed onto the agar plates using the standardized solution; the bacteria inoculum was placed on the Muller Hinton agar plate, the fungi inoculum on the Sabouraud dextrose agar plate, and the inoculum was left to dry. The Muller Hinton agar plate base was sealed with Muller Hinton agar, and the Sabouraud dextrose agar plate base was sealed with Sabouraud dextrose agar.

A sterile corkborer with a diameter of 8 to 10 mm was used to create a well on the agar plates. Using a calibrated micropipette with a rubber teat, 0.2 ml of the plant extract concentration was added to the well. The plates were then let to stand for 30 minutes to allow for adequate diffusion, and they were then incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. The control for bacterial organisms was ciprofloxacin (0.5µg/ml), while the control for fungal species was ketoconazole (10µg/ml). Using a ruler and two dividers, the inhibition zone was measured after a 24-hour period.

STATISTICS

The data was subjected to One-way ANOVA Analysis using SPSS for various parameters. Further test such as Duncan's multiple range test was carried out to ascertain significance among the parameters.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proximate analysis result of the *Carica papaya* seed extracts is shown in Table 1. The moisture content of the ethanolic aqueous and extract was 12.58% and 13.43%, the ash content was 06.17% and 05.97%, the amount of crude fiber present was 25.23% and 23.78%, the amount of crude fat was 28.63% and 30.11%, the amount of crude protein was 31.26% and 29.85% and the amount of carbohydrate was 8.71% and 10.29%.

The qualitative phytochemical analysis shown in Table 2 revealed that in the aqueous extracts of *Carica papaya* seeds had the following phytochemicals: saponins, phenols, alkaloids and flavonoids, while the ethanolic extract of *Carica papaya* seeds possessed; glycosides, saponins, phenolics, eugenols, terpenoids, steroids, alkaloids, flavonoids and reducing sugar.

Table 3 revealed that quantitative phytochemicals showed that flavonoid was the highest and for aqueous it was 59.75 ± 0.30 , while ethanolic extract was 404.90 ± 2.54 . For tannis which was the lowest it was 1.40 ± 0.01 for aqueous, while ethanol was 2.00 ± 0.34 . The result of the antimicrobial properties of the aqueous and ethanolic extract on *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Klebsiella* spp.

Enterobacter spp. *Candida* spp. and *Aspergillus* spp. is shown in Table 4. The minimum inhibition concentration of the aqueous and ethanol extracts is shown in Tables 5 and 6. The minimum bactericidal concentration and minimum fungicidal concentration of ethanol extract is shown in Tables 7 and 8.

Table 1: Proximate Composition of *Carica papaya* Seeds.

S/N	Parameters	Aqueous (%)	Ethanol (%)
1	Moisture Content	12.58	13.43
2	Ash Content	06.17	05.97
3	Crude Fiber	25.23	23.78
4	Crude Fat	28.63	30.11
5	Crude Protein	31.26	29.85
6	Carbohydrate	8.71	10.29

Table 2: Result of the Qualitative Phytochemical Analysis.

S/N	Parameter	Aqueous	Ethanol
1	Glycosides	-	+
2	Saponins	+	+
3	Phenols	+	+
4	Eugenols	-	+
5	Terpenoids	-	+
6	Steroids	-	+
7	Alkaloids	+	+
8	Flavonoids	+	+
9	Tannins	-	-
10	Reducing Sugar	-	+

Key: - (Negative), + (Positive)

Table 3: Result of the Quantitative Phytochemical Analysis of Aqueous and Ethanolic Extract.

S/N	Parameters	Units	Aqueous	Ethanol
1	Alkaloid	%	4.32 ± 0.11	5.82 ± 0.23
2	Total tannins	TAE mg/kg	1.40 ± 0.01	2.00 ± 0.34
3	Total saponin	mg/kg	18.24 ± 1.07	26.24 ± 3.75
4	Total phenolics	TAE mg/kg	36.73 ± 2.66	186.20 ± 3.67
5	Total flavonoid	QE mg/kg	59.75 ± 0.30	404.90 ± 2.54

Table 4: Result of the Antimicrobial Properties for Aqueous and Ethanol.

Organisms	Control (mm)	Aqueous (mm)	Ethanol (mm)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	NZ	19	NZ
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	38	19	20
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	38	17	23
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	NZ	12	NZ
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	18	18	NZ
<i>Candida</i> spp.	25	NZ	20
<i>Aspergillus</i> spp.	23	NZ	12

Key: NZ (No Zone), Control; Bacteria: Ciprofloxacin, Fungi: Ketoconazole

Table 5: Result of Minimum Inhibition Concentration of Aqueous Extract.

Organisms	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)
Concentration	100	50	25	12.5	6.25	3.12
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	G	G	G	G	G	G
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	NG	G	G	G	G	G
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	NG	G	G	G	G	G
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	G	G	G	G	G	G
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	G	G	G	G	G	G

Key: G (Growth), NG (No Growth)

Table 6: Result of Minimum Inhibition Concentration of Ethanol Extract.

Organisms	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)
Concentration	16.65	8.3	4.16	2.08	1.04	0.52	0.26
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG	G	G
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG	G	G
<i>Candida</i> spp.	NG	NG	NG	NG	G	G	G
<i>Aspergillus</i> spp.	G	G	G	G	G	G	G

Key: G (Growth), NG (No Growth)

Table 7: Minimum Bactericidal Concentration of Bacterial Isolates.

Organisms	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)
Concentration	16.65	8.33	4.16	2.08	1.04
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	NG	NG	NG	G	G
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	NG	NG	G	G	G

Key: NG (No growth), G (Growth)

Table 8: Minimum Fungicidal Concentration of Fungal Isolate.

Organism	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)
Concentration	16.65	8.33	4.16	2.08	1.04
<i>Candida</i> spp.	NG	NG	G	G	G

Key: NG (No Growth), G (Growth)

Carica papaya is a plant that has been discovered to have many nutrients, the seed is found to contain a bulk load of nutritional components. In this study Table 1 revealed high composition of crude protein, crude fiber, and crude fat. This shows that *Carica papaya* contain numerous rich nutritional components. It showed that it has high protein content which is essential for body building, the carbohydrate and fat makes it a good source of energy. Protein serves as a vital dietary element necessary for the survival of both animals and humans. This finding is in agreement with the research of Azevedo, *et al.* (2014); Oche, *et al.* (2017).

The crude fiber content in Table 1 showed that it is a good source to remove toxins from the digestive tract and also helps in lowering of cholesterol (Wulansari, *et al.*, 2019). In this study the report of moisture content of aqueous extract was 12.58% and ethanolic extract was 13.43%, this was higher than the report of Kanadi, *et al.* (2019) which was 7.40%. The moisture content of food affects its shelf life as low moisture content can inhibit microbial growth thus reducing spoilage (Kanadi, *et al.*, 2019). The amount of ash obtained in this study was 6.17% for the aqueous extract and 5.97% for the ethanolic extract and was below the value of 8.94% reported by Achukwu, *et al.* (2022). The crude fiber content of

this study was 25.23% aqueous extract and 23.76 % ethanolic extract. This is close to the value of 20.25% reported by the research of Achukwu, *et al.* (2022). The research of Moses and Olanrewaju (2018) for aqueous extract had the same value of 25.23% for crude fiber like this study. Crude fiber has been reported by researchers to play a crucial role in digestion as it aids the normal functioning of the large intestine and the elimination of toxins from the digestive tract (Wulansari, *et al.*, 2019; Otles and Ozgos, 2014).

The carbohydrate content of both the aqueous 8.17% and ethanol extract 10.29% in Table 1 was lower compared to 23.34% in the research of Kanadi, *et al.* (2021).

Carica papaya seeds are abundant in phytochemicals which play a vital role in combating cellular issues, such as cell growth, protection against cellular damage from oxidation and lowering the likelihood of non-communicable diseases (Ali, *et al.*, 2018). Phytochemical of *Carica papaya* is shown in Tables 2 and 3. It shows that both aqueous and ethanol extracts of *C. papaya* seeds contain different amounts of phytochemicals like alkaloid, saponin, phenolics, flavonoids depending on the extraction solvent used. Glycosides, eugenols, terpenoids, steroids,

and reducing sugar was also found in ethanol extract while tannins was absent in both extracts.

Compounds present in this study such as alkaloids are said to be the most important secondary metabolites with the potential to treat various illnesses therapeutically (Ali, *et al.*, 2018). Alkaloids have an antibacterial and anti-analgesic property hence its use in preparation of medicinal agents (Okwu and Okwu, 2004). Additional properties of alkaloid that has been documented includes its antimicrobial, amoebicidal and anticancer activities (Kaur and Arora, 2015).

Saponins have been observed to display cytotoxic effects like permeating of the small intestine and inhibiting the growth of various types of cells, which may contribute to their documented anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer properties (Yildirim and Kutlu, 2015). Saponins increases the penetration of harmful substances into cells or the leakage of vital cell content when eaten in uncontrolled quantity by animals (Ajiboye, *et al.*, 2020; Francis, *et al.*, 2003).

Flavonoids, being lipophilic, can disrupt the formation of cell walls and membranes and inhibit enzymatic activity by forming complexes with the bacterial cell wall (Ali, *et al.*, 2018).

Glycosides contribute to correcting irregular heart rhythms by enhancing the heart's pumping force, which in turn increases the rate of heart contractions through improved sodium-potassium cellular ATPase activity (Jing and Zhang, 2019).

The results shown in Table 4 reveals that aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *Carica papaya* seeds have antimicrobial activities. The aqueous extract had zone of inhibition of 19 mm for both *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, 17 mm for *Bacillus subtilis*, 12 mm for *Klebsiella* spp. and 18 mm for *Enterobacter* spp., while the ethanol extract had zone of inhibition of 20 mm for both *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Canida* spp., 23 mm for *Bacillus subtilis* and 12 mm for *Aspergillus* spp.

The results in Table 4 showed that the extracts had similar activities on comparison with the conventional drug used as control. Ethanolic extract showed greater zone of inhibition compared to the aqueous extract, similar result was reported in the research carried out by Okoye (2011). When examining the sensitivity of microbial strains to plant extracts versus synthetic

antibiotics, findings indicate that plant extracts could serve as a viable alternative to antibiotics, as they exhibit similar inhibition zones and fewer associated side effects. Unlike antibiotics, these extracts are less prone to resistance issues (Marchese and Shito, 2001; Poole, 2001; Kareem, *et al.*, 2010).

The minimum inhibition concentration (MIC) of the aqueous and ethanol extract of *Carica papaya* seed is shown in Tables 5 and Table 6. The antimicrobial activity of plants especially plant seeds using aqueous and ethanol as solvents are proved to be effective in the killing of microbes. This present research showed that aqueous and ethanolic extracts *Carica papaya* seeds had antimicrobial activities just like the research of Achukwu, *et al.* (2022); Kanadi, *et al.* (2021). The MIC results from Table 6 and 7 revealed that *Carica papaya* seed extract is dose dependent and has both antibacterial and antifungal activity.

The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) and minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC) of the ethanol extract of *Carica papaya* seed are shown in Tables 7 and 8 respectively. MBC and MFC refers to the lowest concentration of an antimicrobial agent required to kill a specific bacterium or fungus. The result for MIC and MBC of this study agrees with the findings of Obi and Onuoha, 2000; Ogueke, *et al.*, 2006 that aqueous and ethanol extracts of plant seeds have active ingredient that has antimicrobial effect on microbes. This present study justifies the traditional uses of *Carica papaya* seeds for therapeutic uses. Historical accounts indicate its utilization as a pain reliever, anti-amoebic, antibacterial, cardiogenic, bile flow stimulant, digestive aid, menstrual regulator, fever reducer, blood pressure reducer, laxative, respiratory aid, digestive tonic, worm expeller and as a remedy for jaundice (Anibijuwon and Udeze, 2009).

CONCLUSION

This study indicates that *Carica papaya* seeds contain numerous nutritional and phytochemical compounds crucial for human health, the presence of bioactive substances have been reported to provide plants with resistance against bacteria, fungi and pests, which explains the demonstrated antimicrobial activity observed in *Carica papaya* seeds extract utilized in this research.

REFERENCES

1. Achukwu, N.O., C.C. Aniobi, P.O. Isiofia, C.A. Ajare, and A.N. Agu. 2022. "Antifungal and Phytochemical Screening of *Carica papaya* Seed Extract". *Sokoto Journal of Medical Laboratory Science*. 7(4): 4 – 9.
2. Ajiboye, A.E. and R.A. Olawoyin. 2020. "Antibacterial Activities and Phytochemical Screening of Crude Extract of *Carica papaya* Leaf against Selected Pathogens". *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*. 26(1): 165-170.
3. Ali, M., S. Olanitola, and F.D. Lawan. 2018. "Antibacterial Activity of Fractionated Extracts of *Carica papaya* Leaves and Stem Bark against Clinical Isolates of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRS)". *Modern Applied Pharmacy and Pharmacology*. 1: 1-5.
4. AOAC. 1990. *Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemist: Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. 21st Edition*. AOAC: Washington DC.
5. Azevedo, L. and P. Campagnol. 2014. "Papaya Seed Flour (*Carica papaya*) affects the Technological and Sensory Quality of Hamburgers". *International Food Research Journal*. 2(6): 2141-2145.
6. Chopra, A and V. Doiphode. 2002. *Ayurvedic Medicine: Core Concept, Therapeutic Principles and Current Relevance*. Medical Clinics of North America. 86: 75-89.
7. Francis G., H. Makkar, Z. Kerem, and K. Becker. 2003. "The Biological Action of Saponins in Animal Systems: A Review". *British Journal of Nutrition*. 88(6): 587-605.
8. Jing, F. and L. Zhang. 2019. "Clinical Applications of the Naturally Occurring or Synthetic Glycosylated Low Molecular Weight Drugs". In: *Progress in molecular Biology and Translational Science*. Academic Press: New York, NY. 163: 487- 522.
9. Kanadi, M.A., A.J. Alhassan, A.L. Ngwen, A.I. Yaradua, A. Nasir, and A.M. Wudil. 2019. "Dose Dependent Chemo Preventive Effect of Methanol Extract of *Carica papaya* Seed on Potassium Bromate-Induced Nephrotoxicity in Rats". *Asian Journal of Biochemistry and Genetics*. 2(1): 1-12.
10. Kanadi, M.A., R. Yila, M.P. Ibrahim, A.I. Yaradua, and A. Nasir. 2021. "Proximate Composition and Phytochemical Constituents of Matured *Carica papaya* Seed Extract". *Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry*. 9(1): 28-33.
11. Kareem, K.T., S.O. Kareem, O.J. Adeyemo, and R.K. Egberongbe. 2010. "In vitro Antimicrobial Properties of *Bridelia ferruginea* on some Clinical Isolates". *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America*. 1(3): 416-420.
12. Kaur, R. and S. Arora. 2015. "Alkaloids Important Therapeutic Secondary Metabolites of Plant Origin". *Journal of Critical Reviews*. 2(3): 1-8.
13. Makkar, H.P.S., G. Tran, V. Heuzé, and P. Ankers. 2014. "State-of-the-Art on use of Insects as Animal Feed". *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 197: 1-33.
14. Marchese, A. and G.C. Shito. 2001. "Resistance Pattern of Lower Respiratory Tract Pathogens in Europe". *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*. 16: 25-29.
15. Maurya, R., G. Singh, and P.P. Yadav. 2008. "Antiosteoporosis Agents from Natural Sources". In: *Studies in Natural Products Chemistry*. Attaur-Rahman (ed.). 35:517-545.
16. Obi, V.J. and C. Onuoha. 2000. "Extraction and Characterization Methods of Plants Products". In: *Biological and Agricultural Techniques*. J.L. Ogbulie and O.J. Ojiako (eds). Web Media Publications: Owerri, Nigeria. 271- 286.
17. Oche, O., A. Rosemary, O. John, E. Chidi, S.M. Rebecca, and U.A. Vincent. 2017. "Chemical Constituent and Nutrient Composition of *Carica papaya* and *Vernonia amygdalina* leaf extract.". *Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medicine Research*. 1: 1-8.
18. Ogueke, C.C, J.N. Ogbulie, and H.O. Joku. 2006. "Antimicrobial Properties and Preliminary Phytochemical Analysis of Ethanolic Extracts of *Aistonia bonnie*". *Nigerian Board of Microbiology*. 20(2): 896-899.
19. Okoye, E.I. 2011. "Preliminary Phytochemical Analysis and Antimicrobial Activity of Seeds of *Carica papaya*". *Journal of Basic Physical Research*. 2(1): 66-69.
20. Okwu, D.E. and M.E. Okwu. 2004. "Chemical Composition of *Spondias mombin* Linn. Plant Parts". *Journal of Sustainability Agriculture and Environment*. 6(2): 140-147.
21. Otles, S. and S. Ozgos. 2014. "Health Effect of Dietary Fibre". *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum Technologia*. 13(2): 191-202.
22. Poole, K. 2001. "Overcoming Antimicrobial Resistance by Targeting Resistance Mechanisms". *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*. 53: 283-284.

23. Rafeaian-Kopaie M. and A. Baradaran. 2013. "Plants Antioxidants: From Laboratory to Clinic". *Journal of Nephropathology*. 2(2): 152–153.
24. Sarker, S.D. and L. Nahar. 2007. "Chemistry for Pharmacy Students General, Organic and Natural Product Chemistry". John Wiley and Sons: London, UK. 283-359.
25. Tiwari, P., B. Kumar, M. Kaur, G. Kaur, and H. Kaur. 2011. "Phytochemical Screening and Extraction: A Review". *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 1: 98–106
26. Vandapitte, J., J. Verhaegen, K. Engbaek, P. Rohner, P. Piot, and C.C. Heuck. 2003. "Basic Laboratory Procedures in Clinical Bacteriology". Geneva, Switzerland. 167.
27. Wulansari, D.D., R.R. Risthanti, and A. Kirtishanti. 2019. "Ameliorative Effect of *Carica papaya* Seed Extract on Diabetic Rat Model with Muscle Atrophy". *Media Pharmacy Journal Indonesia*. 2(4): 208- 215.
28. Yildirims I. and T. Kutlu. 2015. "Anticancer Agents: Saponins and Tannins". *International Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 9(6): 332- 340.

SUGGESTED CITATION

Udinyiwe, O.C. and A.E. Omoregie. 2024. "Determination of the Proximate Content, Phytochemical Properties and the Antimicrobial Properties of the Seed Extracts of *Carica papaya* on Some Clinical Isolates". *Pacific Journal of Science and Technology*. 25(2): 175-185.



ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Dr. Osayamen Collins Udinyiwe, is a Lecturer in the Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria. He holds a Ph.D. degree in Environmental and Public Health Microbiology from the University of Benin. His research interests are in environmental microbiology, public health microbiology, and bioremediation studies. He is an Associate Member of the Nigerian Institute of Science Laboratory Technology (ANISLT).

Mr. Andrew Edosa Omoregie, is a Lecturer in the Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria. He holds an M.Sc. degree in Environmental and Public Health Microbiology from the University of Benin. His research interest is in environmental microbiology. He is an Associate Member of the Nigerian Institute of Science Laboratory Technology (ANISLT).